IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 28 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 637 OF 2003

RAMANLAL K. SANGHVI AND ORS. ..PETITIONERS
V/S
B.M.C. & ANR. ..CONTEMNORS
AND
JANHIT MANCH ..ORIG.PETITIONER
AND
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
& ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

P.S. SHAH FOR PETITIONERS.
MS. SHOBHA AJITKUMAR FOR RESP. NO. 1.
A.Y. BOOKWALA, SR. ADV. I/B A.G. DAMALE FOR RESP. NO. 2.

CORAM : S.K. SHAH, J.
DATE : 25TH AUGUST,2006.

P.C.

1. Learned counsel for the Respondents submits that there is no contempt committed of the order dated 8th July, 2004, in that, the Respondents Corporation had not granted permission for utilisation of TDR. The contempt petition stands disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they be granted liberty to file the petition if necessary. That liberty is not necessary as if contempt is committed the petitioner can always come before this court.

(S.K. SHAH, J.)